Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/748,300	LEE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Linh M. Nguyen	2816
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Linh M. Nguyen</u> .	(3)	
(2) Samuel W. Ntiros.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 May 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1, 10, 21 and 28		
Prior art documents discussed:  Huffman (U.S. Patent No. 6,480,433); and Hellums (U.S. Patent No. 5,362,988)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  A teleconference was held for amendments of the claims to overcome the prior art in order to place the application in condition for allowance.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
		·
•		
The My 1.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		